U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov

espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

Project Information

Project Name: Veteran's-Memorial-Park-					
HEROS Number: 900000010396061					
Responsible Entity (RE): CARLSBAD, DIRECTOR OF REDEVLOPMENT CARLSBAD CA, 92008					
RE Preparer: Nicole Piano-Jones					
State / Local Identifier:					
Certifying Officer: Mandy Mills					
Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Ent ity):					
Point of Contact:					
Consultant (if applicabl e):					
Point of Contact:					
Project Location: , Carlsbad, CA					

Additional Location Information:

The project is located on the west and south by Faraday Avenue, to the north by Whitman Way, and to the southeast by the Macario Canyon. Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 212-271-03-00.

Direct Comments to: https://www.carlsbadca.gov/departments/parks-

recreation/parks-community-centers/parks/future-park-

planning/veterans-memorial-park

1200 Carlsbad Village Drive

Carlsbad, Ca, 92008

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The proposed Veteran's Memorial Park is designed to be a family-oriented park with a variety of multi-generational and inclusive amenities that are incorporated into active and passive recreational elements. The park is physically separated into two distinct areas (north and south) which transition through passive uses and natural open space to a prominent public art at the high point of the site. The plaza with memorial elements on the north side opens into the large community gathering area, with shaded pavilions framed by seat walls. The building at the plaza entrance includes a concession room, small office, restrooms, storage, and maintenance closet. An inclusive, universally accessible playground and family and group picnic areas are proximal to the main gathering area, allowing for convenient access from the parking lot. Further up the slope, a nature inspired playground provides a more challenging play experience. Access to the south side of the park is located near the underpass at Faraday Avenue. A small building in the center of the plaza contains restrooms and storage. The primary amenity on the south side is a 4-acre family-oriented bike park. The intent is to develop the bike park with a military theme to further celebrate veterans. It is anticipated that sustainable and nature inspired features, such as rock outcroppings, boulders, and wood will be used to reinforce the overall theme of the park. Other elements within this section of the park include a tot lot and outdoor exercise area.

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

The Veteran's Memorial Park will dramatically improve a large vacant site and provide much needed park and open space facilities to its residents and visitors. Additionally, as reflected in its name, the purpose of this park is to commemorate our veterans that have served our country.

Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

The site is vacant, comprised of 91.5 acres of which 48 acres as are developable. The reminder of the site is protected habitat. The topography of the site is characterized by gentle south to southwest facing slopes.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description: Project map.pdf

Determination:

√	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human
	environment
	Finding of Significant Impact

Approval Documents:

HEROS Signature Page.pdf

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer

on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer

on:

Funding Information

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name	Funding Amount
B-23-CP-CA-0155	Community Planning and Development (CPD)	Community Project Funding (CPF) Grants	\$3,000,000.00

Estimated Total HUD Funded,
Assisted or Insured Amount:

\$3,000,000.00

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) \$54,550,000.00 **(5)]:**

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)			
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6					
Airport Hazards	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project site is not within 15,000 feet			
Clear Zones and Accident Potential		of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a			
Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D		civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards			

		requirements. Source: City of Carlsbad
		General Plan, GIS Map
Coastal Barrier Resources Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is located in a state that
Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as		does not contain CBRS units. Therefore,
amended by the Coastal Barrier		this project is in compliance with the
Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC		Coastal Barrier Resources Act. Source:
3501]		US Department of Fish & Wildlife,
		Coastal Resource Systems Mapper
Flood Insurance	☐ Yes ☑ No	The structure or insurable property is
Flood Disaster Protection Act of		not located in a FEMA-designated
1973 and National Flood Insurance		Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood
Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-		insurance may not be mandatory in this
4128 and 42 USC 5154a]		instance, HUD recommends that all
,		insurable structures maintain flood
		insurance under the National Flood
		Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is
		in compliance with flood insurance
		requirements. Source: FEMA Map, Map
		No. 06073C0768G, May 16, 2012, City of
		Carlsbad GIS
·		IONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5
Air Quality	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project's county or air quality
Clean Air Act, as amended,		management district is in attainment
particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40		status for all criteria pollutants. The
CFR Parts 6, 51, 93		project is in compliance with the Clean
		Air Act. Source: NEPA Assist Map
Coastal Zone Management Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is located in a Coastal Zone,
Coastal Zone Management Act,		and it has been determined to be
sections 307(c) & (d)		consistent with the State Coastal
		Management Program with mitigation,
		identified in the mitigation section of
		this review. The project is in compliance
		with the Coastal Zone Management Act.
		Source: NEPA Assist Map, CEQA Initial
		Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration
Contamination and Toxic	☐ Yes ☑ No	Site contamination was evaluated as
Substances		follows: None of the above. On-site or
24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]		nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive
		substances that could affect the health
		and safety of project occupants or
		conflict with the intended use of the
		property were not found. The project is
		exempt from radon consideration. The
		project is in compliance with
		project is in compliance with

		contamination and toxic substances
		requirements.
Endangered Species Act Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project will have No Effect on listed species due to the nature of the activities involved in the project. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C	□ Yes ☑ No	There are no current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers of concern within 1 mile of the project site. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements. Source: CalEPA Map
Farmlands Protection Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act. The Project site is not located on land designated as Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance according to the San Diego County Important Farmland 2016 Map of the California Department of Conservation, Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program. Therefore, the Project would not convert farmland to a nonagricultural use, there would be no impact related to this threshold, and no mitigation is required. Source: CEQA Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration
Floodplain Management Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	☐ Yes ☑ No	The following exception applies, therefore the project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690: 55.12(g) HUD's or the responsible entity's approval of a project site, an incidental portion of which is situated in the FFRMS floodplain (not including the floodway, LiMWA, or coastal high hazard area), but only if: (1) The proposed project site does not include any existing or proposed buildings or improvements that modify or occupy the FFRMS floodplain except de minimis

Historic Preservation National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	☐ Yes ☑ No	improvements such as recreation areas and trails; and (2) The proposed project will not result in any new construction in or modifications of a wetland. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106.		
Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under HUD's noise regulation. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation.		
Sole Source Aquifers Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. Source: NEPA Assist Map		
Wetlands Protection Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project will not impact on- or off- site wetlands. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. Source: NEPA Assist Map		
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. Source: NEPA Assist Map		
HUD HOUSING ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS				
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE				
Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	□ Yes ☑ No	No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.		

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]

Impact Codes: An impact code from the following list has been used to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact May require mitigation
- (4) Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement.

Environmental	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation			
Assessment Factor	Code					
LAND DEVELOPMENT						
Conformance with	1	Currently zoned for open				
Plans / Compatible		space in the City's General				
Land Use and		Plan. The General Plan				
Zoning / Scale and		designates part of the site for				
Urban Design		open space for outdoor				
		recreation and part of the				
		site for open space for				
		preservation of natural				
		resources. This is consistent				
		with the proposed project.				
Soil Suitability /	2	The project would grand a				
Slope/ Erosion /		develop the site with new				
Drainage and		impervious surfaces and new				
Storm Water		pervious landscaped areas. A				
Runoff		Storm Water Quality				
		Management Plan has been				
		prepared for this project,				
		which provides measures to				
		mitigate potential water				
		quality impacts that may				
		result from project				
		operations. The Storm Water				
		Quality Management Plan				
		determined that the project				
		would not negatively impact				
		downstream conditions and				
		no mitigation measures are				
		necessary. The project site is				
		not located in an area subject				
		to or on off-site landslides.				
		This project proposes no				
		activities which would				
		remove subsurface support				
		therefore impacts related to				
		the would be less than				
		significant and no mitigation				
He color !	2	is required.				
Hazards and	2	The project operations would				
Nuisances		not involve hazardous				
including Site		materials that would create a				
Safety and Site-		hazard to the public or				
Generated Noise		environment, therefore no				

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment	Code		
Factor			
		mitigation measures are	
		required. The CEQA Initial	
		Study/Mitigated Declaration	
		included a review of	
		databases for known	
		environmental concerns in	
		the area. The project site and	
		adjacent sites were not	
		identified as being locations	
		that contain hazardous	
		materials. The project would	
		not result in a safety hazard	
		or excess noise for people	
		residing or working in the	
		project area.	
	1 .	SOCIOECONOMIC	
Employment and	1	The project will provide a	
Income Patterns		temporary increase in	
		employment for	
		construction. Long-term, this	
		project will not impact	
		employment or income	
Domo o grandi o	2	patterns.	
Demographic	2	This project will not change	
Character Changes		the demographics of the area	
/ Displacement Environmental	1	of displace any residents. There are no adverse health	
Justice EA Factor	1		
Justice EA Factor		impacts or environmental effects associated with this	
		project.	
	COM	MUNITY FACILITIES AND SER	VICES
Educational and			
Educational and Cultural Facilities	3	An Archaeological and	The CEQA Initial
		Paleontological Resources Inventory was prepared for	Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration identifies the
(Access and		,	
Capacity)		his project as part of the CEQA Initial Study/Mitigated	following mitigation
		Negative Declaration. The	measures regarding cultural and archeological resources.
		Inventory included	MM CUL-1 - Cultural
		consultation with the Native	Sensitivity Training MM
		American Heritage	CUL-2 - Archeological
		Commission to review the	Resources Monitoring MM-
		Sacred Lands database	CUL-3 - Tribal Cultural
	i	Jaci eu Lailus database	COL-3 - ITIDAI CUITUI AI

Environmental Assessment	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Factor	Couc		
		regarding possible Native American cultural resources and/or Sacred Lands. The result of this review revealed that the site was positive for Tribal Cultural Resources and/or Sacred Sites. Several measures will be implemented as part of the project to mitigate for cultural and tribal resources.	Resources Monitoring Agreement MM CUL-4 - Native American Monitor MM CUL-5 - Uncovered Artifacts of Luiseno Native Americans MM CUL-6 - Preconstruction Meeting MM CUL-7 - Authority to Divert and/or halt Construction Activities MM CUL-8 - Inadvertent Discovery of Significant Cultural Resources MM CUL-9 - Communication Protocols MM CUL-10 - Inadvertent Discovery of Native American Cemeteries MM CUL-11 - Monitoring of Fill Materials for Tribal Resources MM CUL-12 - Invasive and/or Non-Invasive Testing MM CUL-13 - Cultural Resources Monitoring Report MM CUL- 14 - Curation of Non-Tribal Archeological Resources MM CUL-15 - Avoidance of SDI- 8303 MM CUL-16 - Landscaping Plans Near SDI- 8303 Implementation of MM CUL-1 to MM CUL-16 would reduce potentially significant impacts to archaeological and historical resources to less than significant levels.
Commercial	2	This project will not create	
Facilities (Access		any adverse impacts to	
and Proximity)		commercial facilities within the area.	
Health Care /	2	This project will not create	
Social Services			
Social Services		any adverse impacts to	

Environmental Assessment	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Factor			
(Access and		health care facilities or social	
Capacity)		services within the area.	
Solid Waste	2	The City of Carlsbad	
Disposal and		contracts with Republic	
Recycling		Services for waste	
(Feasibility and		management services to	
Capacity)		residential and commercial	
		customers. The project	
		involves no demolition of	
		existing structures, so less	
		than significant impacts are	
		expected as a result of	
		construction water. The	
		County has sufficient	
		capacity within its landfills to	
		accommodate the less than	
		significant related to solid	
		waste generated from the	
	_	project once built.	
Waste Water and	2	The project area is served by	
Sanitary Sewers		the Carlsbad Municipal	
(Feasibility and		Water District and will not	
Capacity) Water Supply	2	impact existing capacity.	
(Feasibility and	2	The project area is served by the Carlsbad Municipal	
Capacity)		Water District and will not	
Capacity		impact existing capacity.	
Public Safety -	2	The project area is served by	
Police, Fire and	_	the Carlsbad Police	
Emergency		Department and Fire	
Medical		Department. The City of	
		Carlsbad Fire Department has	
		five stations across the city.	
		The Fire Department is also	
		responsible for Emergency	
		Operations including	
		emergency service delivery.	
		The project is not expected	
		to increase demand for fire	
		and paramedic services,	
		require the development of a	
		new fire station, or alteration	
		of any existing fire facility.	

Environmental Assessment	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Factor	Code		
		The City of Carlsbad Police Department has 184 full-time personnel. The project is not anticipated to require the construction of a new police station, alteration of existing facilities, and is not anticipated to place an additional demand for services.	
Parks, Open Space	1	The proposed project aims to	
and Recreation		improve vacant land,	
(Access and		currently zoned for open	
Capacity)		space and recreation. The project will positively	
		improve the existing	
		conditions and provide active	
		park space for the	
Transportation	1	community. The Project proposes	
and Accessibility	1	facilities for pedestrians and	
(Access and		cyclists, including a system of	
Capacity)		ADA -compliant access paths	
		to connect the different	
		areas of the park. The north	
		parking area would be accessed from driveway	
		constructed off Faraday Ave.,	
		and provide 72 spaces,	
		including 12 ADA stalls, eight	
		EV charging stations, and a	
		drop-off area. The south parking area would be	
		accessed from a second w	
		driveway also constructed off	
		Faraday Ave. The south	
		parking area would provide	
		37 stalls including two ADA	
		stalls, four EV charging stations, and a drop-off area.	
		Overall. the Project would	
		provide 109 off-street	
		parking spaces. As part of the	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment	Code		
Factor			
		Project, a 5-foot wide, level	
		concrete pad for passenger	
		boarding and alighting would	
		be constructed at the bus	
		stop on the east side of	
		Faraday Ave., immediately	
		adjacent to the Project site. A	
		bench would also be installed	
		at the same bus stop.	
	T	NATURAL FEATURES	
Unique Natural	2	There are no unique natural	
Features /Water		features or water resources	
Resources		present on the site.	
Vegetation /	3	A Biological Technical Report	
1 -		•	
· ·		• • •	
-		_	
Disruption, etc.)		•	
		_	
		_	
		•	
		· ·	
		·	
		_	
		-	
Wildlife (Introduction, Modification, Removal, Disruption, etc.)		was prepared for the Project, which is provided in the CEQA Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration. This report details the known and potential biological resources within and adjacent to the Project site based on review of existing data on sensitive biological resources known to occur in the city, including special status species records, sensitive natural communities mapping, wetlands mapping, and field surveys. The Project would result in direct and indirect impacts to special status species. Direct impacts include the removal of habitat or direct impacts to individuals during construction. Indirect impacts would include construction noise, dust, vibration, and runoff, which could affect individuals and habitat outside of the Project's direct impact	

Environmental Assessment	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Factor			
		footprint. Mitigation	
		measures are summarized in	
		the following section.	
Other Factors 1			
Other Factors 2			
		CLIMATE AND ENERGY	
Climate Change	1	The project estimated Green	
		House Gas (GHG) would be	
		less than the City's Climate	
		Action Plan screening	
		threshold. Therefore, the	
		project would not only make	
		a beneficial contribution to	
		climate change by developing	
		additional local park	
		resources which would	
		reduce transportation fuel	
		consumed by residents.	
Energy Efficiency	2	The project will not result in	
		significant impacts related to	
		energy therefore no	
		mitigation measures are	
		required.	

Supporting documentation

SHPO combined.pdf

Mitigation and Monitoring Program.pdf

CEQA_Certification_Form-signed.pdf

Carlsbad Tribal Cultural a.pdf

App-D_Phase I Archaeo-Paleo Report.pdf

General Plan Sections.pdf

Maps Combined.pdf

Veterans Memorial Park Draft IS(3).pdf

Additional Studies Performed:

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration

Field Inspection [Optional]: Date and completed by:

1/23/2019 12:00:00 AM

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

 Yi Su, Parks Planner, City of Carlsbad - Nick Stupin, Parks Planning Manager, City of Carlsbad - Veterans Memorial Park Project Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration, March 2022 - City of Carlsbad General Plan:

https://www.carlsbadca.gov/departments/community-

development/planning/general-plan - United States Department of Fish and Wildlife, Coastal Barrier Resource Systems Mapper,

https://fwsprimary.wim.usgs.gov/CBRSMapper-v2/ - NEPA Assist;

https://nepassisttool.epa.gov/nepassist/nepamap.aspx - FEMA National Flood

Insurance Program, map no. 06073C0768G, May 12, 2012:

https://www.fema.gov/flood-maps - CalEPA Map:

https://siteportal.calepa.ca.gov/nsite/map/help

List of Permits Obtained:

The project will require several permits from the City of Carlsbad: - Conditional Use Permit - Hillside Development Permit - Habitat Management Plan Permit - Coastal Development Permit

Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

The project has involved extensive public outreach efforts. There were two public outreach events held on March 9, 2019, and September 21, 2019. Additionally, two focus group meetings were held on March 5, 2020, and on July 27, 2022. The outreach events and focus group meetings identified the community's needs, values and priorities related to the potential uses of the park site. They helped design team to understand the community's preferences for the various elements in design. Design team incorporated the community input received form the workshops into the design concept and construction documents. Several public bodies have reviewed the planning documents and provided opportunity for public input. The Parks and Recreation Commission reviewed the project on January 25, 2021, and May 16, 2022. Additionally, the Planning Commission approved the Mitigated Negative Declaration and Mitigation and Monitoring Reporting Program for the project. The City Council reviewed the project on February 23, 2021, and approved the final project plan on July 26, 2022. The draft Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration was available for public review and comment from March 11, 2022 - April 11, 2022. Lastly, this NEPA environmental assessment will be publicly noticed and made available for public review and comment for a period of 30 days.

Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

Based upon the CEQA Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration, the project would not have adverse environmental impacts at a significant level. All potential significant impacts would be addressed with mitigation measures. No significant cumulative effects are expected because no resources would be adversely affected by the project. A less than significant impact would occur in relation to the cumulatively considerable effects.

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

No alterative projects or sites are suitable. The proposed project complies with the underlying zoning, provides a benefit to the community with improved park space, while keeping a portion of the site reserved for habitat and open space. The city of Carlsbad does not own another similar parcel, and therefore an alternative site is not available.

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

The no-action alternative would result in the continued vacancy of the project area. An improved park area including ADA accessible park features and walking trails will not be available to residents.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

No significant adverse impacts have been identified regarding the proposed project. Rather, the overall impacts of the project are positive by increasing resident access to park space and ADA accessible walking paths. No wetlands, stream, significant habitat areas or rare species will be adversely affected by the proposed project. The site is not in a floodplain or flood hazard area. The development of a new park space will add value to the adjacent community and city. While it is anticipated that the adjacent area will experience temporary impacts to noise and traffic as a result of the construction, there will be no long-term impacts.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on	Mitigation Plan	Complete
Factor		Completed Measures		
Coastal Zone Management Act	The Project is located in the Coastal Zone. The HMP is part of the implementation plan for Carlsbad's Local Coastal Program. With implementation of MM BIO- 8, the Project would be consistent with the HMP's Coastal Zone Standards and would therefore not conflict with the Carlsbad Local Coastal Program or any other local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources. Mitigation Measures BIO-8: The Project site shall comply with the following HMP Coastal Zone Conservation Standards as they relate to resources within the Project site, as described below: Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Areas, as defined in Section 30107.5 of the Coastal Act, will be protected against any significant disruption of habitat values, and only uses dependent on those resources shall be allowed within those areas. a)tProperties containing coastal sage scrub located in the Coastal Zone will conserve a minimum 67 percent of the coastal sage scrub and 75 percent of the gnatcatchers onsite. This has been accomplished through Project design by conserving 47.17 acres (98 percent) of the coastal sage scrub onsite. b)tMitigation in the form of	-		
	creation for impacts to coastal sage scrub (at a 2:1 ratio) and			

			ı	
	southern maritime chaparral (at a			
	3:1 ratio) will be provided within			
	the coastal zone in order to have			
	no net loss of habitat within the			
	coastal zone.			
	c)tRiparian habitat impacts will be			
	mitigated offsite using pre-			
	purchased wetland creation			
	credits from the North County			
	Habitat Bank, which is located			
	within the coastal zone in the City			
	of Carlsbad.			
	d)tUpland habitat impacts will be			
	mitigated onsite within the city			
	owned HMP hardline area. All			
	mitigation areas will be added to			
	the city's existing Preserve			
	Management Plan and placed			
	under long-term management.			
	e)tA 20-foot buffer between			
	developed park and native			
	habitat within HMP hardline			
	areas has been incorporated into			
	the project design. Although not			
	required, the 20-foot buffer was			
	counted as an impact wherever			
	the project had to encroach upon			
	existing coastal sage scrub habitat			
	(encroachment plus buffer is			
	counted as impact in these			
	areas). No development, grading,			
	or alterations, including clearing			
	of vegetation, will occur in the			
	buffer area, except for recreation			
	trails within the first 15 feet of			
	the buffer closest to the			
	development.			
	S NEDA A			
	Source: NEPA Assist Map, CEQA			
	Initial Study/Mitigated Negative			
	Declaration			
Educational	The CEQA Initial Study/Mitigated	N/A		
and Cultural	Negative Declaration identifies			
Facilities	the following mitigation			
	measures regarding cultural and			

			1	
(Access and	archeological resources. MM			
Capacity)	CUL-1 - Cultural Sensitivity			
	Training MM CUL-2 -			
	Archeological Resources			
	Monitoring MM-CUL-3 - Tribal			
	Cultural Resources Monitoring			
	Agreement MM CUL-4 - Native			
	American Monitor MM CUL-5 -			
	Uncovered Artifacts of Luiseno			
	Native Americans MM CUL-6 -			
	Preconstruction Meeting MM			
	CUL-7 - Authority to Divert and/or			
	halt Construction Activities MM			
	CUL-8 - Inadvertent Discovery of			
	Significant Cultural Resources			
	MM CUL-9 - Communication			
	Protocols MM CUL-10 -			
	Inadvertent Discovery of Native			
	American Cemeteries MM CUL-			
	11 - Monitoring of Fill Materials			
	for Tribal Resources MM CUL-12			
	- Invasive and/or Non-Invasive			
	Testing MM CUL-13 - Cultural			
	Resources Monitoring Report			
	MM CUL-14 - Curation of Non-			
	Tribal Archeological Resources			
	MM CUL-15 - Avoidance of SDI-			
	8303 MM CUL-16 - Landscaping			
	Plans Near SDI-8303			
	Implementation of MM CUL-1 to			
	MM CUL-16 would reduce			
	potentially significant impacts to			
	archaeological and historical			
	resources to less than significant			
	levels.			
L	icveis.			

Project Mitigation Plan

Attached is the Mitigation and Monitoring Plan developed for the prepared Negative Declaration for the project.

Mitigation and Monitoring Program(1).pdf

Supporting documentation on completed measures

APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D
prevent incompatible development		
around civil airports and military airfields.		

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. Source: City of Carlsbad General Plan, GIS Map

Supporting documentation

<u>Veterans Memorial Park Airport Map.pdf</u> H Public Safety Section 65.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Coastal Barrier Resources

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be	Coastal Barrier Resources Act	
used for most activities in units of the	(CBRA) of 1982, as amended by	
Coastal Barrier Resources System	the Coastal Barrier Improvement	
(CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations	Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	
on federal expenditures affecting the		
CBRS.		

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRA units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Compliance Determination

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act. Source: US Department of Fish & Wildlife, Coastal Resource Systems Mapper

Supporting documentation

Coastal Barrier Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
used in floodplains unless the community participates	Protection Act of 1973	and 24 CFR 58.6(a)
in National Flood Insurance Program and flood	as amended (42 USC	and (b); 24 CFR
insurance is both obtained and maintained.	4001-4128)	55.1(b).

1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?</u>

No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

✓ Yes

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

GIS Map(1).pdf

Full_FIRM_cafab988-48e4-4288-9aae-9b60baf97dce.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The <u>FEMA Map Service Center</u> provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site. Provide FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, and date within your documentation.

Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area?

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

4. While flood insurance is not mandatory for this project, HUD strongly recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Will flood insurance be required as a mitigation measure or condition?

Yes

✓ No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements. Source: FEMA Map, Map No. 06073C0768G, May 16, 2012, City of Carlsbad GIS

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et	40 CFR Parts 6, 51
by the U.S. Environmental	seq.) as amended particularly	and 93
Protection Agency (EPA), which	Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC	
sets national standards on	7506(c) and (d))	
ambient pollutants. In addition,		
the Clean Air Act is administered		
by States, which must develop		
State Implementation Plans (SIPs)		
to regulate their state air quality.		
Projects funded by HUD must		
demonstrate that they conform		
to the appropriate SIP.		

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

✓	Yes

No

Air Quality Attainment Status of Project's County or Air Quality Management District

- 2. Is your project's air quality management district or county in non-attainment or maintenance status for any criteria pollutants?
- ✓ No, project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants.

Yes, project's management district or county is in non-attainment or maintenance status for the following criteria pollutants (check all that apply):

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. Source: NEPA Assist Map

Supporting documentation

Nepa Map 2(1).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Coastal Zone Management Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant	Coastal Zone Management	15 CFR Part 930
agencies for activities affecting	Act (16 USC 1451-1464),	
any coastal use or resource is	particularly section 307(c)	
granted only when such	and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and	
activities are consistent with	(d))	
federally approved State		
Coastal Zone Management Act		
Plans.		

1.	Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state
Coastal	Management Plan?

✓ Yes

No

2. Does this project include new construction, conversion, major rehabilitation, or substantial improvement activities?

✓ Yes

No

3. Has this project been determined to be consistent with the State Coastal Management Program?

Yes, without mitigation

✓ Yes, with mitigation

No, project must be canceled.

4. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the

impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation. This information will be automatically included in the Mitigation summary for the environmental review.

The Project is located in the Coastal Zone. The HMP is part of the implementation plan for Carlsbad's Local Coastal Program. With implementation of MM BIO-8, the Project would be consistent with the HMP's Coastal Zone Standards and would therefore not conflict with the Carlsbad Local Coastal Program or any other local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources.

Mitigation Measures

BIO-8: The Project site shall comply with the following HMP Coastal Zone Conservation Standards as they relate to resources within the Project site, as described below: Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Areas, as defined in Section 30107.5 of the Coastal Act, will be protected against any significant disruption of habitat values, and only uses dependent on those resources shall be allowed within those areas.

a)tProperties containing coastal sage scrub located in the Coastal Zone will conserve a minimum 67 percent of the coastal sage scrub and 75 percent of the gnatcatchers onsite. This has been accomplished through Project design by conserving 47.17 acres (98 percent) of the coastal sage scrub onsite. b)tMitigation in the form of creation for impacts to coastal sage scrub (at a 2:1 ratio) and southern maritime chaparral (at a 3:1 ratio) will be provided within the coastal zone in order to have no net loss of habitat within the coastal zone. c)tRiparian habitat impacts will be mitigated offsite using pre-purchased wetland creation credits from the North County Habitat Bank, which is located within the coastal zone in the City of Carlsbad.

d)tUpland habitat impacts will be mitigated onsite within the city owned HMP hardline area. All mitigation areas will be added to the city's existing Preserve Management Plan and placed under long-term management.

e)tA 20-foot buffer between developed park and native habitat within HMP hardline areas has been incorporated into the project design. Although not required, the 20-foot buffer was counted as an impact wherever the project had to encroach upon existing coastal sage scrub habitat (encroachment plus buffer is counted as impact in these areas). No development, grading, or alterations, including clearing of vegetation, will occur in the buffer area, except for recreation trails within the first 15 feet of the buffer closest to the development.

Source: NEPA Assist Map, CEQA Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration

Screen Summary
Compliance Determination

This project is located in a Coastal Zone, and it has been determined to be consistent with the State Coastal Management Program with mitigation, identified in the mitigation section of this review. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. Source: NEPA Assist Map, CEQA Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration

Supporting documentation

Nepa map(3).pdf Veterans Memorial Park Draft IS(2).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Contamination and Toxic Substances

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulations	
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being		24 CFR	
proposed for use in HUD programs be free of		58.5(i)(2)	
hazardous materials, contamination, toxic		24 CFR 50.3(i)	
chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances,			
where a hazard could affect the health and safety of			
the occupants or conflict with the intended			
utilization of the property.			
Reference			
https://www.onecpd.info/environmental-review/site-contamination			

1. How was site contamination evaluated?* Select all that apply.

ASTM Phase I ESA

ASTM Phase II ESA

Remediation or clean-up plan

ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening.

✓ None of the above

2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances* (excluding radon) found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)

Provide a map or other documentation of absence or presence of contamination** and explain evaluation of site contamination in the Screen Summary at the bottom of this screen.

^{*} HUD regulations at 24 CFR § 58.5(i)(2)(ii) require that the environmental review for multifamily housing with five or more dwelling units or non-residential property include the evaluation of previous uses of the site or other evidence of contamination on or near the site. For acquisition and new construction of multifamily and nonresidential properties HUD strongly advises the review include an ASTM Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) to meet real estate transaction standards of due diligence and to help ensure compliance with HUD's toxic policy at 24 CFR §58.5(i) and 24 CFR §50.3(i). Also note that some HUD programs require an ASTM Phase I ESA.

✓ No

Explain:

There are no current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers of concern within 1 mile of the project site. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements. Source: CalEPA Map

Yes

- * This question covers the presence of radioactive substances excluding radon. Radon is addressed in the Radon Exempt Question.
- ** Utilize EPA's Enviromapper, NEPAssist, or state/tribal databases to identify nearby dumps, junk yards, landfills, hazardous waste sites, and industrial sites, including EPA National Priorities List Sites (Superfund sites), CERCLA or state-equivalent sites, RCRA Corrective Action sites with release(s) or suspected release(s) requiring clean-up action and/or further investigation. Additional supporting documentation may include other inspections and reports.
- 3. Evaluate the building(s) for radon. Do all buildings meet any of the exemptions* from having to consider radon in the contamination analysis listed in CPD Notice CPD-23-103?

✓ Yes

Explain:

This project does not involve any existing or proposed buildings. Currently the land is open space and vacant and the proposed project is an improved park.

No

- * Notes:
- Buildings with no enclosed areas having ground contact.
- Buildings containing crawlspaces, utility tunnels, or parking garages would not be exempt, however buildings built on piers would be exempt, provided that there is open air between the lowest floor of the building and the ground.
- Buildings that are not residential and will not be occupied for more than 4 hours per day.
- Buildings with existing radon mitigation systems document radon levels are below 4 pCi/L with test results dated within two years of submitting the application for HUD assistance and document the system includes an ongoing maintenance plan that includes periodic testing to ensure the system continues to meet the current EPA recommended levels. If the project does not require an application, document test results dated within two years of the date the environmental review is certified. Refer to program office guidance to ensure compliance with program requirements.

• Buildings tested within five years of the submission of application for HUD assistance: test results document indoor radon levels are below current the EPA's recommended action levels of 4.0 pCi/L. For buildings with test data older than five years, any new environmental review must include a consideration of radon using one of the methods in Section A below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: None of the above. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is exempt from radon consideration. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.

Supporting documentation

CalEPA Map2(1).pdf
CalEPA map.pdf
Veterans Memorial Park Draft IS(1).pdf
Nepa Map 2.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Endangered Species

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973	402
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	(16 U.S.C. 1531 et	
shall not jeopardize the continued existence of	seq.); particularly	
federally listed plants and animals or result in	section 7 (16 USC	
the adverse modification or destruction of	1536).	
designated critical habitat. Where their actions		
may affect resources protected by the ESA,		
agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife		
Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries		
Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services").		

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

✓ No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

This selection is only appropriate if none of the activities involved in the project have potential to affect species or habitats. Examples of actions without potential to affect listed species may include: purchasing existing buildings, completing interior renovations to existing buildings, and replacing exterior paint or siding on existing buildings.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project will have No Effect on listed species due to the nature of the activities involved in the project. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Explosive and Flammable Hazards

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet	N/A	24 CFR Part 51
Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)		Subpart C
requirements to protect them from		
explosive and flammable hazards.		

1. Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as bulk fuel storage facilities and refineries)?

✓	No
	Yes

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

No

✓ Yes

- 3. Within 1 mile of the project site, are there any current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers that are covered by 24 CFR 51C? Containers that are NOT covered under the regulation include:
- Containers 100 gallons or less in capacity, containing common liquid industrial fuels OR
- Containers of liquified petroleum gas (LPG) or propane with a water volume capacity of 1,000 gallons or less that meet the requirements of the 2017 or later version of National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Code 58.

If all containers within the search area fit the above criteria, answer "No." For any other type of aboveground storage container within the search area that holds one of the flammable or explosive materials listed in Appendix I of 24 CFR part 51 subpart C, answer "Yes."

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

There are no current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers of concern within 1 mile of the project site. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements. Source: CalEPA Map

Supporting documentation

CalEPA Map2.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

۷۵٥

Farmlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection	Farmland Protection Policy	7 CFR Part 658
Policy Act (FPPA) discourages	Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201	
federal activities that would	et seq.)	
convert farmland to		
nonagricultural purposes.		

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

✓ No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act. The Project site is not located on land designated as Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance according to the San Diego County Important Farmland 2016 Map of the California Department of Conservation, Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program. Therefore, the Project would not convert farmland to a nonagricultural use, there would be no impact related to this threshold, and no mitigation is required. Source: CEQA Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration

Supporting documentation

Veterans Memorial Park Draft IS.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,	* Executive Order 13690	
requires Federal activities to	* 42 USC 4001-4128	
avoid impacts to floodplains	* 42 USC 5154a	
and to avoid direct and	* only applies to screen 2047	
indirect support of floodplain	and not 2046	
development to the extent		
practicable.		

1. Does this project meet an exemption at 24 CFR 55.12 from compliance with HUD's floodplain management regulations in Part 55?



- (a) HUD-assisted activities described in 24 CFR 58.34 and 58.35(b).
- (b) HUD-assisted activities described in 24 CFR 50.19, except as otherwise indicated in § 50.19.
- (c) The approval of financial assistance for restoring and preserving the natural and beneficial functions and values of floodplains and wetlands, including through acquisition of such floodplain and wetland property, where a permanent covenant or comparable restriction is place on the property's continued use for flood control, wetland projection, open space, or park land, but only if:
- (1) The property is cleared of all existing buildings and walled structures; and
- (2) The property is cleared of related improvements except those which:
- (i) Are directly related to flood control, wetland protection, open space, or park land (including playgrounds and recreation areas);
- (ii) Do not modify existing wetland areas or involve fill, paving, or other ground disturbance beyond minimal trails or paths; and
- (iii) Are designed to be compatible with the beneficial floodplain or wetland function of the property.
- (d) An action involving a repossession, receivership, foreclosure, or similar acquisition of property to protect or enforce HUD's financial interests under previously approved loans, grants, mortgage insurance,

or other HUD assistance.

- (e) Policy-level actions described at 24 CFR 50.16 that do not involve site-based decisions.
- (f) A minor amendment to a previously approved action with no additional adverse impact on or from a floodplain or wetland.
- ✓ (g) HUD's or the responsible entity's approval of a project site, an incidental portion of which is situated in the FFRMS floodplain (not including the floodway, LiMWA, or coastal high hazard area) but only if: (1) The proposed project site does not include any existing or proposed buildings or improvements that modify or occupy the FFRMS floodplain except de minimis improvements such as recreation areas and trails; and (2) the proposed project will not result in any new construction in or modifications of a wetland.
 - (h) Issuance or use of Housing Vouchers, or other forms of rental subsidy where HUD, the awarding community, or the public housing agency that administers the contract awards rental subsidies that are not project-based (i.e., do not involve site-specific subsidies).
 - (i) Special projects directed to the removal of material and architectural barriers that restrict the mobility of and accessibility to elderly and persons with disabilities.

Describe:

The project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988.

No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The following exception applies, therefore the project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690: 55.12(g) HUD's or the responsible entity's approval of a project site, an incidental portion of which is situated in the FFRMS floodplain (not including the floodway, LiMWA, or coastal high hazard area), but only if: (1) The proposed project site does not include any existing or proposed buildings or improvements that modify or occupy the FFRMS floodplain except de minimis

improvements such as recreation areas and trails; and (2) The proposed project will not result in any new construction in or modifications of a wetland.

Supporting documentation

 $\frac{Full_FIRM_cafab988-48e4-4288-9aae-9b60baf97dce(1).pdf}{GIS\ Map.pdf}$

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Historic Preservation

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under	Section 106 of the	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic
Section 106 of the	National Historic	Properties"
National Historic	Preservation Act	https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CF
Preservation Act	(16 U.S.C. 470f)	R-2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36-
(NHPA) require a		vol3-part800.pdf
consultative process		
to identify historic		
properties, assess		
project impacts on		
them, and avoid,		
minimize, or mitigate		
adverse effects		

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.) No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Step 1 – Initiate Consultation Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Response Period Elapsed

Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)

Other Consulting Parties

Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

State Historic Office contacted regarding Section 106 review and no response was received during the 30-day response window. Tribal Consultation was completed as part of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration. This has been included in this supporting documentation to this environmental review.

Carlsbad, CA

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

	Was the Section	106 Lender	Delegation I	Memo used f	or Section 10	6 consultation?
--	-----------------	------------	--------------	-------------	---------------	-----------------

Yes

No

Step 2 – Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

1. Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below:

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location	National Register	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive
/ District	Status		Information

Additional Notes:

2. Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the project?

✓	Yes
---	-----

Document and upload surveys and report(s) below. For Archeological surveys, refer to HP Fact Sheet #6, Guidance on Archeological

Investigations in HUD Projects.

Additional Notes:

No

Step 3 –Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on direct and indirect effects.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

No Historic Properties Affected

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload concurrence(s) or objection(s) below.

Document reason for finding:

✓ No historic properties present.

Historic properties present, but project will have no effect upon them.

No Adverse Effect

Adverse Effect

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106.

Supporting documentation

SHPO combined(1).pdf
Carlsbad Tribal Cultural a(1).pdf
App-D_Phase I Archaeo-Paleo Report(1).pdf
Veterans Memorial Park Draft IS(4).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Noise Abatement and Control

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect	Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
residential properties from		Subpart B
excessive noise exposure. HUD	General Services Administration	
encourages mitigation as	Federal Management Circular	
appropriate.	75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at	
	Federal Airfields"	

1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

New construction for residential use

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster

✓ None of the above

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under HUD's noise regulation. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Sole Source Aquifers

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Safe Drinking Water	40 CFR Part 149
protects drinking water systems	Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C.	
which are the sole or principal	201, 300f et seq., and	
drinking water source for an area	21 U.S.C. 349)	
and which, if contaminated, would		
create a significant hazard to public		
health.		

1. Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing building(s)?

Yes

✓ No.

2. Is the project located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)?

A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project (or jurisdiction, if appropriate) in relation to the nearest SSA and its source area, below.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. Source: NEPA Assist Map

Supporting documentation

Nepa map(2).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or	Executive Order	24 CFR 55.20 can be
indirect support of new construction impacting	11990	used for general
wetlands wherever there is a practicable		guidance regarding
alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's		the 8 Step Process.
National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a		
primary screening tool, but observed or known		
wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also		
be processed Off-site impacts that result in		
draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands		
must also be processed.		

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

No

- ✓ Yes
- 2. Will the new construction or other ground disturbance impact an on- or off-site wetland? The term "wetlands" means those areas that are inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does or would support, a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas such as sloughs, potholes, wet meadows, river overflows, mud flats, and natural ponds.

"Wetlands under E.O. 11990 include isolated and non-jurisdictional wetlands."

✓ No, a wetland will not be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload a map or any other relevant documentation below which explains your determination

Yes, there is a wetland that be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Screen Summary
Compliance Determination

The project will not impact on- or off-site wetlands. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. Source: NEPA Assist Map

Supporting documentation

Nepa map(1).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
designated as components or		
potential components of the		
National Wild and Scenic Rivers		
System (NWSRS) from the effects		
of construction or development.		

Carlsbad, CA

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. Source: NEPA Assist Map

Supporting documentation

Nepa map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Environmental Justice

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project	Executive Order 12898	
creates adverse environmental		
impacts upon a low-income or		
minority community. If it		
does, engage the community		
in meaningful participation		
about mitigating the impacts		
or move the project.		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

Yes



Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

Project Information

Project Name:

Veteran's-Memorial-Park-

HEROS Number:

900000010396061

Project Location:

, Carlsbad, CA

Additional Location Information:

The project is located on the west and south by Faraday Avenue, to the north by Whitman Way, and to the southeast by the Macario Canyon. Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 212-271-03-00.

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The proposed Veteran's Memorial Park is designed to be a family-oriented park with a variety of multigenerational and inclusive amenities that are incorporated into active and passive recreational elements. The park is physically separated into two distinct areas (north and south) which transition through passive uses and natural open space to a prominent public art at the high point of the site. The plaza with memorial elements on the north side opens into the large community gathering area, with shaded pavilions framed by seat walls. The building at the plaza entrance includes a concession room, small office, restrooms, storage, and maintenance closet. An inclusive, universally accessible playground and family and group picnic areas are proximal to the main gathering area, allowing for convenient access from the parking lot. Further up the slope, a nature inspired playground provides a more challenging play experience. Access to the south side of the park is located near the underpass at Faraday Avenue. A small building in the center of the plaza contains restrooms and storage. The primary amenity on the south side is a 4-acre family-oriented bike park. The intent is to develop the bike park with a military theme to further celebrate veterans. It is anticipated that sustainable and nature inspired features, such as rock outcroppings, boulders, and wood will be used to reinforce the overall theme of the park. Other elements within this section of the park include a tot lot and outdoor exercise area.

Funding Information

Grant Number	HUD Program	Program Name	
B-23-CP-CA-	Community Planning and	Community Project Funding (CPF)	\$3,000,000.00
0155	Development (CPD)	Grants	

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount:

\$3,000,000.00

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) (5)]:

\$54,550,000.00

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition
Coastal Zone Management Act	The Project is located in the Coastal Zone. The HMP
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	is part of the implementation plan for Carlsbad's
	Local Coastal Program. With implementation of
	MM BIO-8, the Project would be consistent with
	the HMP's Coastal Zone Standards and would
	therefore not conflict with the Carlsbad Local
	Coastal Program or any other local policies or
	ordinances protecting biological resources.
	Mitigation Measures
	BIO-8: The Project site shall comply with the
	following HMP Coastal Zone Conservation
	Standards as they relate to resources within the
	Project site, as described below: Environmentally
	Sensitive Habitat Areas, as defined in Section
	30107.5 of the Coastal Act, will be protected
	against any significant disruption of habitat values,
	and only uses dependent on those resources shall
	be allowed within those areas.
	a)tProperties containing coastal sage scrub located
	in the Coastal Zone will conserve a minimum 67
	percent of the coastal sage scrub and 75 percent of
	the gnatcatchers onsite. This has been
	accomplished through Project design by conserving
	47.17 acres (98 percent) of the coastal sage scrub
	onsite.
	b)tMitigation in the form of creation for impacts to
	coastal sage scrub (at a 2:1 ratio) and southern
	maritime chaparral (at a 3:1 ratio) will be provided
	within the coastal zone in order to have no net loss
	of habitat within the coastal zone.
	c)tRiparian habitat impacts will be mitigated offsite
	using pre-purchased wetland creation credits from
	the North County Habitat Bank, which is located
	within the coastal zone in the City of Carlsbad.
	d)tUpland habitat impacts will be mitigated onsite
	within the city owned HMP hardline area. All

07/12/2024 17:21 Page 2 of 4

	mitigation areas will be added to the city's existing Preserve Management Plan and placed under long-term management. e)tA 20-foot buffer between developed park and native habitat within HMP hardline areas has been incorporated into the project design. Although not required, the 20-foot buffer was counted as an impact wherever the project had to encroach upon existing coastal sage scrub habitat (encroachment plus buffer is counted as impact in these areas). No development, grading, or alterations, including clearing of vegetation, will occur in the buffer area, except for recreation trails within the first 15 feet of the buffer closest to the development.
	S. S. Santa States to the development.
	Source: NEPA Assist Map, CEQA Initial
	Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration
Educational and Cultural Facilities (Access and	The CEQA Initial Study/Mitigated Negative
Capacity)	Declaration identifies the following mitigation
	measures regarding cultural and archeological
	resources. MM CUL-1 - Cultural Sensitivity
	Training MM CUL-2 - Archeological Resources
	Monitoring MM-CUL-3 - Tribal Cultural Resources
	Monitoring Agreement MM CUL-4 - Native American Monitor MM CUL-5 - Uncovered Artifacts
	of Luiseno Native Americans MM CUL-6 -
	Preconstruction Meeting MM CUL-7 - Authority to
	Divert and/or halt Construction Activities MM
	CUL-8 - Inadvertent Discovery of Significant Cultural
	Resources MM CUL-9 - Communication Protocols
	MM CUL-10 - Inadvertent Discovery of Native
	American Cemeteries MM CUL-11 - Monitoring of
	Fill Materials for Tribal Resources MM CUL-12 - Invasive and/or Non-Invasive Testing MM CUL-13 -
	Cultural Resources Monitoring Report MM CUL-14
	- Curation of Non-Tribal Archeological Resources
	MM CUL-15 - Avoidance of SDI-8303 MM CUL-16 -
	Landscaping Plans Near SDI-8303 Implementation
	of MM CUL-1 to MM CUL-16 would reduce
	potentially significant impacts to archaeological and
	historical resources to less than significant levels.
Permits, reviews, and approvals	The project will require several permits from the
	City of Carlsbad: - Conditional Use Permit - Hillside Development Permit - Habitat
	Management Plan Permit - Coastal Development
	Permit

Carlsbad, CA

Page 3 of 4 07/12/2024 17:21

Project Mitigation Plan

Attached is the Mitigation and Monitoring Plan developed for the prepared Negative Declaration for the project.

Mitigation and Monitoring Program(1).pdf

Determ	ination:	
X	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The pro	
	result in a significant impact on the quality of human environ	nment
	Finding of Significant Impact	
Prepare	r Signature: Mully Prufers	Date: 07/12/2024
Name	/ Title/ Organization: Nicole Piano-Jones / / CARLSBAD	
Certifyii	ng Officer Signature:	Date: 7-12-14
Name/	Title: Mandy Mills , Director	
	ginal, signed document and related supporting material must sible Entity in an Environment Review Record (ERR) for the ac	
respons	have Entity in an Environment Review Record (ERR) for the ac	uvity / project (ici. 27 ci it rait

58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).